

### Remarks

In response to the Office Action dated October 19, 2007, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration based on the above claim amendment and the following remarks. Applicants respectfully submit that the claims as presented are in condition for allowance. Claims 15-45 are currently pending. Claims 15-25 stand rejected. Claims 26-45 have been indicated as allowed. Claims 15 and 16 have been cancelled. Claims 17 and 20 have been rewritten into independent form including the recitations of claims 15 and/or 16.

### Interview Summary

On November 6, 2007, an informal telephone interview was conducted between the undersigned and Examiner Nawaz. During the conversation it was confirmed that claims 26-45 are allowed and that line 7 of the "Office Action Summary" was in error. It was further clarified the §103 rejections in paragraph 6 applied only to 15-25 and not 15-45. The Examiner indicated that he would file a corrected Office Action.

It was further discussed that amending the claim language rejected under §112 to "configuration variable data to one of the plurality of the client application servers" would cure the §112 rejections.

### Claim Objections

Claims 17-25 are objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim 15. Claim 17 has been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claims 15 and 16. Claim 20 has been rewritten into independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim 15. Claims 18-19 and 21-25 depend from allowable independent claims 17 and 20. As such, the objections to claims 17-25 may be withdrawn.

### 112 Rejections

Claims 15-25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as his invention. It being unclear what is meant by "configuration variable data

to one of the application servers”. Claims 15-16 have been cancelled and claims 17 and 20 have been rewritten in independent form.

Amended independent claims 17 and 20 have been further amended to recite “...configuration variable data to one of the plurality of client application servers...” As such amended independent claims are now definite and the §112 rejection may be withdrawn.

### 103 Rejections

Claims 15-25 stand rejected as being unpatentable over Weschler (U.S. Patent 6,757,720) in view of Wischinski (U.S. Pat. 6,801,920) and further in view of Uhler (U.S. Pat. 7,089,560).

The Office Action has indicated that claims 17-25 would be allowable over the combination of Weschler, Wischinski and Uhler if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 17 and 20 have been rewritten in independent form including the limitations of claims 15 and/or 16. As such, independent claims 17 and 20 are now allowable over the combination of Weschler in view of Wischinski and further in view of Uhler and the §103 rejections may be withdrawn. Claims 18-19 and 21-25 depend from an allowable rewritten independent claim 17 and 20 and are allowable for at least the same reasons.

### Conclusion

Applicants assert that the application including claims 17-45 is now in condition for allowance. Applicants request reconsideration in view of the amendments and remarks above and further request that a Notice of Allowability be provided. Should the Examiner have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

No fees are believed due other than the fee for the RCE filed herewith. However, please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-3025.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 9, 2007

/Arno Naeckel/

Arno Naeckel  
Reg. No. 56,411

Withers & Keys, LLC  
P.O. Box 71355  
Marietta, Ga 30007-1355  
(770) 518-9822